Maximus Higher Education

How Can Maximus Help With Your Sponsored Programs Operational Needs?

November 1, 2023

© Maximus Higher Education Services 2023

maximus

Introductions

Introductions

Harold Horton – Sr. VP Maximus

Kyle Lewis – Sr. Consultant

Geraldine Pierre – Sr. Consultant

Michael Mazzola – Sr. Consultant

maximus

Agenda

- Maximus Background Information
- Maximus Grants Management Solutions
- Overview of Research Administration Staffing Challenges
- Case Studies
- Q&A

maximus

Maximus Higher Education Practice

Organization and structure

- Established in 1985
- Headquartered in Northbrook, IL, with multiple satellite offices across the country

Consulting services

- F&A proposal assistance, Space Survey, Negotiations
- Fringe benefit rate proposals
- Reviews of service/recharge centers
- Moveable and Fixed Asset Inventory
- Sponsored Programs/Grants Management

Software Solutions

- Comprehensive Rate Information System (CRIS[®]) used by 250 institutions (90 of top 100)
- WebSpace[®] Space Inventory and Survey System used by over 80 institutions
- Effort Reporting System®



30 Full-time consulting and IT staff 250+ Colleges and universities served

- Policies and Procedures Compliance Review
 - Review Pre-Award and Post Award Policies in High-Risk Areas
 - Option: update existing policies and SOPs and create new ones if needed

Policy requires updates for one or more of the following reasons: does not	
reference OMB, does not specify roles and responsibilities, or lacks key	
information.	Risk Scorecard
Policy requires updates e.g., OMB requirements, does not specify roles	
and responsibilities, or lacks information.	
No recommended changes	

Status	Policy	Recommended updates	Reference
	Allowable Costs	Add clear definitions and examples of direct and	The OMB Uniform Guidance (§200.420 through
	and Activities -	indirect costs and those that that can be both e.g.,	§200.475) Cost Principles
	Cost Principles	Admin salaries.	
	Policy	Link for "Chart of Allowable and Unallowable Costs	
		does not work."	
	Capital	Develop policy on classification, safeguarding,	OMB 200.313 Equipment
	Equipment	depreciating and retiring equipment.	
	Cost Sharing	Missing guidance on reduction of effort.	https://www.ecfr.gov/Cost Sharing
		Combine with Cost Share Guidelines.	
		Include in policy approvals for proposals which	
		require too much cost sharing.	
	Cost Transfers	Add the procedure for processing cost transfers that	http://www.ecfr.gov/Cost Transfers
		require multiple reviews and approvals. Assign	
n		responsibility for approving cost transfers over 90 days.	

- Organizational Review and Change Management
 - Goal Alignment vs Mission
 - Structure/Staffing levels vs Mission
 - Business Processes vs Mission
 - Technology vs Mission
 - Staff Training

Deliverables: Final Report of Findings/Recommendations Presentations to Senior Administration and Board of Trustees Assistance with Implementation of Recommendations

Interim Staffing for full cycle of Pre and Post Award Operations

- o proposal development, review, and submission
- o award management, billing/invoicing, collections
- o project closeout



- Effort Reporting Compliance and Web based Solution
 0 40 research institutions (Boston College went live in Sept)
- Live Training Solutions for Research and Sponsored Programs
- Tech Transfer, Export Control, IRB, IACUC Review
 - Interim Staffing/ Retainer
 - Review of organization, staffing, policies, and procedures

Current Research Administration Staffing Challenges

- Academic Research Institutions are facing major staffing challenges in this pre-covid work environment.
- Not all staffing challenges require a full time solution.
- Staffing challenges can be tackled with short- term assistance from subject matter experts.

Current Research Administration Staffing Challenges

- FMLA Medical leave
 - o Short term
 - Long term
- Understaffing
 - Hiring
- Turnovers
 - Retention
- High peak proposal deadlines
- High peak financial reporting deadlines

Case Studies

Demonstration of how Maximus assisted institutions by providing grants management solutions

Case Study #1

Need: Turnover and Understaffing in Pre award and Post Award areas – backlog

Solution: Implemented PI Transfers and follow ups (NIH); focal person for institution; Backlog for expired projects; Close Outs; FFR's and accounts receivable and collections

Case Study #2

Need: Turnover in Preaward area – backlog of notice of award

Solution: Sole Contact for Notice of Award and Setup in Grants Mgmt system; Transitioned to Post Award

Case Study #3 - Sponsored Program Assessment

The Objectives:

- Make best practice recommendations to enhance the existing infrastructure.
- Ensure effective compliance with regulatory requirements.
- Enhance customer service support to the researchers.

The Focus:

- Organizational Structure/Personnel
- Compliance Policies and Procedures
- Technology

Scope of Work

Organization/ People	Policies and Procedures/Compliance	Technology
 Organizational structure and reporting relationships. 	 Institutional Policies and Procedures. Office of Sponsored Programs (OSP) and 	 The InfoEd system and documentation.
 Job descriptions including reporting relationships. 	Sponsored Programs Accounting (SPA) Policies and Procedures with respect to OMB Uniform Guidance.	 The Workday system and documentation.
 Roles and responsibilities and volume of workload for each of the functions. 	 Forms and Templates to assist researchers with pursuing and applying for funding. 	 Website and Intranet including FAQs and templates. Financial reporting including data
 Training needs for personnel. 		and metrics.
		45

Scope of Work

Document Review
 Strategic plans and growth opportunities.
 Job descriptions including reporting relationships.
 Business processes and workflows Policies and procedures.
Training programs for personnel.

Case Study #4 - Sponsored Program Assessment

Project Deliverables Build the narrative for the business
 process

- PI portal website
- Establish common definitions
- Document Roles & Responsibilities
 - Central offices
 - Colleges and schools
- Workflow/ Flowcharts
 - Update InfoEd flowcharts for Drexel
 - Review with Key Stakeholders
- Training

Case Study #2 – Process Narrative

Proposal Preparation

Principal Investigators (PI) and Research Administrators (Admin) will create a proposal record in InfoEd. The proposal must meet the requirements outlined in the application instructions included applicable rules and regulations. The Office of Sponsored Programs uses this information to track upcoming proposals based on deadlines entered in the system and balance workload appropriately among staff. OSP will determine institutional eligibility to submit based on guidance in the solicitation.

PIs and Admins ("designees") access InfoEd using their Single Sign-on (SSO) credentials. PI information is automatically populated in InfoEd based on the SSO credentials used. For non-federal funding, OSP determines individual and institutional eligibility to submit based on guidance in the solicitation.

The PI will be responsible for initiating the proposal in InfoEd. The PI will enter basic information and then answer some questions on the pre-award checklist. Once the checklist is completed, this will notify other units as necessary e.g., OGC, Risk Management. Then the Admin will have access to enter all other information into InfoEd. PIs and/or Admins will start by gathering the information below. The PI can "Create a New Proposal" or "Copy from an existing proposal". The PI can select from SPIN, Grants.gov or setup a proposal manually. The following information must be completed for each new proposal.

- 1. PI name and Department
- 2. Proposal Type
- 3. Sponsor
- 4. Solicitation
- 5. Proposal Title
- 6. Project Start and End dates
- 7. Deadline Date

Case Study #4 - RACI

0		~	5	-			1		5		•			-	y	**
PROPOSAL DEVELOPMENT & SUBMISSION																
										 		_	 		 	
LEGEND																

R - Responsible: Those who do the work to complete the task. There is at least one role with a participation type of responsible, although others can be delegated to assist in the work required

A - Accountable (also approver or final approving authority): The one ultimately answerable for the correct and thorough completion of the deliverable or task, the one who ensures the prerequisites of the task a other words, an accountable must sign off (approve) work that responsible provides. There should be only one accountable specified for each task or deliverable.

C - Consulted: Those whose opinions are sought, typically subject matter experts and with whom there is two-way communication.

I - Informed: Those who are kept up-to-date on progress, often only on completion of the task or deliverable; and with whom there is just one-way communicat ion.

Tasks	ORI-EV P	OSP- Exec	Compliance (includes IRB, IBC and IACUC)		PI	College - ADR	Dept Admin	FCR- Exec Director	RAS- Exec Director	Privacy - Director		Procur ement	OGC- Assoc General Counsel	Risk Mgmt.	HR- Bus Partne
Funding Opportunities															
Provide InfoED SPIN database for identifying opportunities	А				I	R	1								
Maintain listing of internal funding programs- website	А				1	R	1								
Provide philanthropic and foundation funding opportunities to faculty.					1			R/A							
Compile resources to facilitate grant writing and proposal development	А				Т	R	Т								
Preparation															
Maintain InfoEd Sponsored Programs System	R	А													
Institutional authority for acceptability of sponsor requirements	R	А	с			R	R	-					с	С	
Prepare proposal that meets requirements outlined in the application instructions, including applicable rules and regulations.	ĩ	А			R	с	A	с							
Prepare scientific/ technical narrative: Review Solicitation Rqts	1	с			R		A								
Determine whether award is a gift or grant		A			с		1	с	R		С				
Create and populate InfoEd record.			0												
					А		R								
Provide unit-level information needed for completion of sponsor-required certifications and assurances		I			А		R					с	с	с	
Verify compliance with and provide signed certifications and assurances		R			А		с		с	с	с		с	с	

Case Study #5 Training

Training modules were developed for the following topics:

- Research Administration
- Research Administration Compliance
- Proposals
- Non-Financial Post Award Management
- Award Setup
- Financial Post Award Management
- Contracts and Agreements

Case Study #5 Training

Award Setup - Defines and details the award setup process and procedures for the proper management of funds secured from sponsors.

Advance Accounts

- Key elements of the Notice of Award
- Award process @ Your School
- Compliance documentation (IACUC, IRB approvals)
- Developing a plan to manage the award
- Key Sponsor Requirements- NIH, NSF, NASA, Gates Foundation, RWJF, etc.

<u>Grants and Contracts</u> - Explores the agreement types executed in sponsored programs and defines the different obligations that each entail.

- Grants
- Contracts
- Sponsored Research Agreements
- Subawards and subcontracts (including subrecipient monitoring)
- Non-funded agreements
- Data use agreements
- Clinical Trials
- Material Transfer Agreements, Service agreements, Consulting agreements

Conclusion

- Maximus Consultants bring a wealth of knowledge and experience to meet critical short term or long term staffing needs
- Maximus Consultants support provide valuable extension to your current team, quickly integrate to help continuity, maintain compliance and relieve to the current organizational structure.
- For current Maximus clients, it is easy to add on services through existing contracts to expedite access to meet your needs.



Questions

Maximus Contact:

Harold Horton haroldhorton@maximus.com

THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING!